

Argument 1 RUBRIC

Total = 30 pts	Fails to meet expectations (0 pts)	Below expectations (5 pts)	Meets expectations (8 pts)	Comments
	<p>This score was assigned because...</p>	<p>This score was assigned because...</p>	<p>This score was assigned because...</p>	
<p>Claim (8 pts)</p>	<p>No claim was provided.</p> <p>AND OR</p> <p>The claim has nothing to do with the case or question.</p> <p><i>*Note - these examples do not fully encompass all the ways one can earn a "Fails to Meet Expectations" for this category.</i></p>	<p>A claim was provided, however...</p> <p>The claim fails to clearly answer the question on the assignment.</p> <p>AND OR</p> <p>The claim is not readily testable, meaning that one could not collect evidence to support or refute the claim (e.g., it fails to address how the drug works)</p> <p><i>*Note - these examples do not fully encompass all the ways one can earn a "Below Expectations" for this category.</i></p>	<p>A claim was provided...</p> <p>AND</p> <p>The claim clearly answers the question on the assignment.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>The claim can obviously be tested, meaning one could collect evidence to support or refute the claim (e.g., the claim describes how the drug works).</p>	
<p>Evidence (8 pts)</p>	<p>No evidence was provided at all.</p> <p>AND OR</p> <p>None of the evidence provided was relevant to judge the validity of the claim.</p> <p>AND OR</p> <p>Students copied and pasted evidence directly from the case material with no meaningful explanation.</p> <p>AND OR</p> <p>The evidence provided is misinterpreted.</p> <p><i>*Note - these examples do not fully encompass all the ways one can earn a "Fails to Meet Expectations" for this category.</i></p>	<p>Evidence was provided, however...</p> <p>The evidence cited only partially supports the validity of claim (e.g., evidence is limited to a single study, or supports only parts of the claim)</p> <p>AND OR</p> <p>The evidence provided was not explained in a way that allows readers to easily evaluate the validity of the claim (e.g., not explaining the evidence clearly).</p> <p><i>*Note - these examples do not fully encompass all the ways one can earn a "Below Expectations" for this category.</i></p>	<p>Evidence was provided...</p> <p>AND</p> <p>All evidence relevant to the claim was considered and the sum total of the evidence presented supports the validity of the claim.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>The evidence provided was explained in a way that allows readers to easily evaluate the validity of claim.</p>	
<p>Reasoning (8 pts)</p>	<p>No reasoning was provided at all.</p> <p>AND OR</p> <p>None of the reasoning provided linked the evidence to claim.</p> <p>AND OR</p> <p>Students copied and pasted reasoning directly from the case.</p> <p><i>*Note - these examples do not fully encompass all the ways one can receive a "Fails to Meet Expectations" for this category.</i></p>	<p>Minimally, reasoning was provided however...</p> <p>The reasoning provided (part or all) does not sufficiently link the evidence to the claim.</p> <p><i>For example (not all apply to every piece of reasoning, these are examples)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The reasoning does not explain or illustrate how or why the evidence stated supports the claim. - The reasoning does not provide, when necessary, one or more scientific principles that help to link the evidence to the claim. <p><i>An example of failing to sufficiently link the evidence to the claim in this case would be to explain how the evidence supports the claim, but failing to explain how the system works.</i></p> <p><i>*Note - these examples do not fully encompass all the ways one can fail to connect evidence to a claim.</i></p>	<p>Reasoning was provided...</p> <p>AND</p> <p>The reasoning provided completely and sufficiently links the evidence to the claim.</p> <p><i>For example (not all apply to every piece of reasoning, these are examples)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The reasoning explains or illustrates how or why the evidence stated supports the claim. - The reasoning provides, when necessary, one or more scientific principles that help to link the evidence to the claim. 	
	<p>Fails to meet expectations (0 pts)</p>	<p>Below expectations (3 pts)</p>	<p>Meets expectations (6 pts)</p>	
<p>General (6 pts)</p>	<p>Formatting instructions were not followed.</p> <p>IF YOUR INSTRUCTOR HAS TO CORRECT YOUR GROUPS BECAUSE YOU DID NOT FOLLOW DIRECTIONS, THE TEAM WILL RECEIVE A POINT REDUCTION FOR THIS CATEGORY</p>	<p>ONE OR MORE of formatting instructions were not followed</p>	<p>ALL of formatting instructions were followed</p>	